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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Parthenium hysterophorus is a plant used in traditional medicine to treat health issues and which could be a source of phytochemicals with possible antioxidant activity without causing cytotoxic effects. Hence, this work was designed to evaluate its phytochemical profile, cytotoxicity, and antioxidant activity. Methods: The aqueous (AE) and ethanolic (EE) extracts of P. hysterophorus flowers were obtained by decoction and ultrasound, respectively. Their phytochemical composition was determined by colorimetric tests and RP-HPLC-MS analysis. Their cytotoxic activity was tested by a hemolysis assay. The antioxidant activity was evaluated with the Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and hydroxyl radical (OH) scavenging assays. In addition, the effect of the extracts on the activity of the antioxidant enzymes superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) from human erythrocytes, was evaluated. Results: The phytochemical screening of the AE and EE by colorimetric test showed the presence of flavonoids, steroids, triterpenes, saponins, coumarins, sesquiterpene lactones, tannins, and carbohydrates. In addition, the RP-HPLC-MS analysis identified some phenolic compounds such as flavonols, methoxyflavonols, flavones, methoxyflavones, and hydroxymethylflavones. The hemolysis assay showed non-cytotoxic activity by AE, but EE exhibited a hemolytic effect. Furthermore, the AE and EE showed significant antioxidant activity to inhibit radicals in the TEAC, DPPH and OH scavenging assays. Moreover, the SOD activity only showed a significant increase by AE. However, the two crude extracts increased the CAT activity, at the highest concentrations. Conclusion: P. hysterophorus has phytochemicals with antioxidant activity to inhibit radicals and increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes in vitro. Key words: Parthenium hysterophorus, Phytochemicals, Cytotoxicity, Antioxidant activity.

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the global population affront diverse health problems, most of them associated with the development of chronic diseases1. Cardiovascular illnesses, cancers, respiratory diseases, and diabetes are the main types of diseases responsible for about 70% of mortality worldwide7. It is known the chronic diseases can be developed by the genetic, environmental, and physiological factors that produce diverse negative effects in the organism. One of them is the oxidative stress8.

The oxidative stress is a phenomenon produced by an excessive production of free radicals in the organism that causes cytotoxic effects that can alter the functions of tissues and organs and which can induce the development of chronic diseases4. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) constitute a type of free radicals that contain oxygen as main element in their chemical structure such as hydroxyl radical (OH), superoxide anion (O2•−), and hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and which are mainly responsible for oxidative stress7.

For this, the human body has antioxidant mechanisms to regulate the oxidative stress which are based on the inhibition of ROS by diverse compounds and enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT)4. However, the influence of genetic, environmental, and biological factors can induce an overproduction of ROS causing an imbalance between ROS production and antioxidant activity, causing the oxidative stress and increasing the risk of developing chronic diseases9.

Therefore, it is vitally important to obtain compounds from natural sources without adverse effects on the organism that can contribute to the prevention of diseases through the inhibition of the ROS and the stimulation of the activity of antioxidant enzymes.

For a long time, plants have been used as traditional treatments for diverse health issues. Their medicinal properties are associated with the presence of phytochemical compounds such as flavonoids, steroids, triterpenes, coumarins, sesquiterpene lactones, tannins, alkaloids, and carbohydrates, which have demonstrated diverse biological activities, including antioxidant activity7,8. These phytochemicals can be recovered from plants through different methods of extraction which are classified in traditional and non-conventional methods6. Some traditional methods are decocion, maceration, filtration, and Soxhlet extraction. Among these methods, the decocion is an extraction procedure famous for being used in herbal medicines for the recovering of water-soluble and thermostable metabolites with several biological activities including antioxidant activity. In this procedure, the phytochemicals are extracted from plant material dissolved in an aqueous solution in which heat is applied until boiling point. On the other hand, the non-conventional methods involve other procedures such as the ultrasound and microwave-assisted extraction, and supercritical fluid extraction10. Among the non-conventional...
methods, the ultrasound-assisted extraction is known to be a valuable technique due to its capacity to extract bioactive compounds within short extraction times. Moreover, it has a low energy consumption, and exhibits high extraction yields compared to some traditional extraction methods\textsuperscript{11}. Therefore, in recent years the extraction by ultrasound has become an important method for the recovery of bioactive compounds.

In the decoction and ultrasound-assisted extraction it is required the use of solvents for the recovery of phytochemicals. The solvents have the function of dissolving those compounds with similar polarity, which produces the separation of the phytochemicals from inert plant material\textsuperscript{11}. Among the different solvents that are employed, the water and ethanol are polar solvents commonly used in the procedures of extraction due to these have demonstrated to recover phenolic compounds from plant matrices, which are metabolites with a wide variety of biological properties, including the antioxidant activity\textsuperscript{11}.

In addition, the water and ethanol exhibit low toxicity compared to other solvents such as hexane, benzene, ethyl acetate, and chloroform, this according to the safety criteria for health and environment stablished by different international solvent selection guides\textsuperscript{46-15}.

Currently, there is a wide variety of plant species known to be used in the traditional medicine and which could be subjected to extraction procedures to recover bioactive compounds with antioxidant activity. One of them is Parthenium hystero\textit{phorus}, also known as parthenium weed, altamisa, carrot grass, bitterweed and wild feverfew, which is an annual herbaceous shrub belonged to the Asteraceae family\textsuperscript{16}. \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} is native of north-east Mexico but also spread in America, Asia, Australia and Africa\textsuperscript{17-14}. It adapts to a variety of climatic and environmental conditions and can be found in roadsides, rock crevices and around the towns\textsuperscript{16}.

In traditional medicine, the decoction of \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} plant has been used to treat health issues such as wounds, fever, anemia, inflammatory skins, neural diseases, and female reproductive problems\textsuperscript{16}. These medicinal uses have exhibited the importance of studying the phytochemical composition of extracts obtained from the different parts of this plant, including their flowers. It has been reported the phytochemical composition of extracts from the flowers of \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} which include the presence of diverse phytochemical groups such as flavonoids, terpenes, and sesquiterpene lactones. In addition, these extracts have showed biological properties such as antitumor, antibacterial, and antioxidant activity\textsuperscript{17-20,21}. Although some authors have evaluated the biological potential of \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} for human health, most of these studies have been performed on \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} plants growth in India, using Soxhlet extraction and different organic solvents in addition to water and ethanol\textsuperscript{17-19,21}, but there is few information about the biological properties of plants of \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} found in Mexico in which this species is native.

For the above, the aim of this work was to determine the phytochemical composition, cytotoxic and antioxidant activity of aqueous and ethanolic crude extracts of \textit{flowers of P. hystero\textit{phorus}}, obtained by the methods of decoction and ultrasound-assisted extraction, respectively.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Plant material**

The \textit{P. hystero\textit{phorus}} plants were collected in Saltillo, Coahuila, Mexico at the coordinates 25°26′29.6″N, 100°59′06.6″W during August 2019. The plant specimen was identified taxonomically by Dr. José Ángel Villarreal Quintanilla, and specimens were preserved in the herbarium of the Antonio Narro Agrarian Autonomous University (UAAAN) with the registry number 101810. Subsequently, flowers were washed with deionized water, dried by lyophilization (Labconco FreeZone 1 Liter Benchtop Freeze Dry System) and stored at -80 °C until to be used.

**EXTRACTION**

Aqueous crude extract

To obtain the aqueous crude extract (AE) the methodology was performed according to Georgervia \textit{et. al}\textsuperscript{22} with some modifications. Flowers (140 g) were immersed in H\textsubscript{2}O to a proportion of 10 % weight of extract/volume of solvent (w/v) in Erlenmeyer flasks which were incubated at 4 °C for 90 h. Thereafter, flasks were heated until ebullition and maintained for 15 min. Then, flasks were incubated again at 4 °C for 48 h. Subsequently, the suspension was filtered with Whatman filters No. 4 (20 µm) and concentrated to dryness by lyophilization for 72 h, obtaining the AE.

Ethenolic crude extract

The ethanolic crude extract (EE) was performed according to the methodology established by Chen \textit{et. al}\textsuperscript{23} with some modifications. Flowers (137 g) were ground and immersed in ethanol 96 ° to a proportion of 5 % (w/v) in Erlenmeyer flasks which were placed in ultrasound equipment (BRANSON 3800, 40 kHz) for 30 min at room temperature. Then, ethanolic suspension was filtered with Whatman filters No. 4 (20 µm) and clarified using Whatman filters GF/A (1.6 µm). The solvent was eliminated by evaporation in a rotary evaporator (Büchi Re 120) coupled to a recirculatory system (Lauda Alpha Ra 8) under reduced pressure (20 psi) at 45 °C, obtaining the dried crude ethanolic extract (EE).

The yield percentages (Y %) in both AE and EE were determined with the following formula:

\[
Y\% = \frac{\text{Recovered mass}}{\text{Initial mass}} \times 100
\]

The aqueous and ethanolic crude extracts were stored at -20 °C until use.

**PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING BY COLORIMETRIC METHODS**

Colorimetric test

The phytochemical analysis of AE and EE were carried out using qualitative colorimetric standard methods\textsuperscript{24-25}. Two solutions of the AE and EE (2 mg/mL) were employed to identify the presence of flavonoids, steroids, triterpenes, phytosterols, coumarins, sesquiterpene lactones, tannins, alkaloids, and carbohydrates. Moreover, the presence of unsaturations (double bonds) and phenolic oxhydrolysates were evaluated. These are constituents of the chemical structure of many phytochemicals such as flavonoids, and which determines the antioxidant activity of these compounds.

Test for flavonoids

Sulfuric acid test: For the test, 20 µL of sulfuric acid concentrated was added in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive if occurs the appearance of yellow-orange colors for flavonoids and red-blue colors for chalcones and aurones.

Test for steroids

Modified Liebermann-Burchard test: This test consisted in adding 20 µL of Liebermann-Burchard ’s reagent in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the presence of steroids if occurs the appearance of blue or green colors.
Test for phytosterols and triterpenes

Salkowski’s test: To perform this test, 20 µL of chloroform and sulfuric acid concentrated were added in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for phytosterols if occurs the appearance of red-brown colors. On the other hand, yellow color indicates the presence of triterpenes.

Test for saponins

Foam test: The test was performed dissolving 2 mg of sample in 1 mL of H₂O. Subsequently, the mixture was shaken vigorously for 1 min. The test is positive if occurs the formation of a foamy lather in the top of the tube.

Test for coumarins

Sodium hydroxide 10 % test: The test consisted in adding 20 µL of a sodium hydroxide solution 10 % (w/v) in 100 µL of sample. Then, 20 µL of hydrochloric acid concentrated was added. The test is positive if occurs a discoloration of the solution when it is acidulated.

Test for sesquiterpenolactones

Baljet’s test: The test was performed dissolving 20 µL of Baljet’s reagent (picric acid + sodium hydroxide) in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of orange or red colors.

Test for tannins

Ferric chloride test: The presence of tannins was evaluated by the addition of 50 µL of ferric chloride solution 2.5 % (w/v) in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of a blue color.

Test for alkaloids

Dragendorff’s test: To perform this test, 20 µL of Dragendorff’s reagent (potassium iodide + bismuthyl nitrate) was added in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive if occurs the formation of a reddish-brown precipitate for 24 h.

Wagner’s test: The test was performed by the addition of 20 µL of Wagner’s reagent (iodine + potassium iodide) and hydrochloric acid concentrated in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of a flocculent brown precipitate.

Mayer’s test: The test consisted in adding 60 µL of Mayer’s reagent (mercuric chloride + potassium iodide) in 300 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of a white precipitate.

Test for carbohydrates

Molisch’s test: The presence of carbohydrates was determined by the addition of 60 µL of Molisch’s reagent (α-Naphthol) and sulfuric acid concentrated in 300 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of violet ring located in the interphase.

Test for unsaturations

Potassium permanganate test: Unsaturations were evaluated by dissolving 20 µL of potassium permanganate solution 2 % (w/v) in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of a brown precipitate.

Test for phenolic oxyhydriles

Ferric chloride test: For this test, 50 µL of a ferric chloride solution 2.5 % (w/v) was added in 100 µL of sample. The test is positive for the appearance of red, blue, violet or green colors.
µg/mL), 10 µL metmyoglobin, and 150 µL ABTS (2,2'-azino-bis [3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid]) were added per well in a 96-well culture plateau. Then, reaction was initiated by adding 40 µL H₂O₂ (441 µM). Plateau was incubated on a shaker for 5 minutes at 25 ºC, and absorbances were measured at 750 nm in a plate reader. Asc-A and Resv (1000 µg/mL), were used as standard references. Antioxidant activity was calculated by linear regression in a Trolox standard curve (0.068 – 0.495 mM Trolox). Results were expressed as the antioxidant activity of a millimolar concentration of Trolox that is equivalent to the antioxidant activity of 1 mg of sample (mM/mg).

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay

The DPPH radical scavenging activity of AE and EE was determined according to Arituluk et al. For this assay, DPPH radical solution (1 mM) was prepared in EtOH. Subsequently, 50 µL of this solution was added in 150 µL of AE and EE (200 - 800 µg/mL). Resv and Asc-A (200 – 800 µg/mL) were used as standard references. The mixtures were incubated for 30 minutes (darkness, 25 ºC), and absorbance was measured at 517 nm. Radical scavenging activity was expressed as the inhibition percentage of DPPH radical (DPPH Inhibition %) and calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{DPPH Inhibition %} = \left(\frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{blank}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where:
- \( A_{\text{blank}} \): Absorbance of blank
- \( A_{\text{sample}} \): Absorbance of sample

Hydroxyl (OH) radical scavenging activity

Hydroxyl radical scavenging capacity of AE and EE was determined according to Ozuyrek et al. In this assay, reacting mixtures constituted by 200 µL KH₂PO₄ – KOH (100 mM), 200 µL FeCl₃ (500 µM), 100 µL EDTA (1 mM), 100 µL Asc-A (1 mM), 100 µL H₂O₂, 100 µL sample (200 – 800 µg/mL), and 200 µL deoxyribose (15 mM) were added in assay tubes and incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h. Thereafter, 1 mL of trichloroacetic acid (1 % w/v) was added and tubes were centrifugated at 5000 rpm for 15 min. After centrifugation, 1 mL of thiobarbituric acid (2.8 % w/v) was added and tubes were incubated in a water bath at 80 - 90 ºC for 15 min. Subsequently, tubes were cooled in ice and mixtures were distributed in a 24-well culture plate (1 mL per well). Finally, absorbances were measured at 532 nm. Asc-A and Resv (200 – 800 µg/mL) were used as standard references. Moreover, a blank (extract substituted with H₂O) and a sample blank (extract added but without deoxyribose) were employed. The OH inhibitory activity (OH inhibition %) was determined using the following activity:

\[
\text{OH Inhibition %} = \left(\frac{A_{\text{blank}} - A_{\text{sample}} - A_{\text{sample blank}}}{A_{\text{blank}}}\right) \times 100
\]

Where:
- \( A_{\text{blank}} \): Absorbance of blank
- \( A_{\text{sample blank}} \): Absorbance of sample blank
- \( A_{\text{sample}} \): Absorbance of sample

Antioxidant enzymatic activity

Supernatants of erythrocytes previously treated with the AE, EE, and standard references for hemolysis assay, were collected and employed for the following antioxidant enzymatic assays.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity

The assay was performed employing the Superoxide Dismutase Assay Kit from Cayman Chemicals (USA) (Item No. 706002), and following manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, supernatants were diluted with sample buffer (1:100). Subsequently, 10 µL samples were added with 200 µL diluted radical detector in each well of a 96-well culture plateau. Then, reaction was initiated by adding 20 µL xanthine oxidase and plateau was incubated on a shaker for 30 min at 25 ºC. Absorbances were measured at 440 nm in a plate reader. A standard curve of bovine erythrocyte SOD (0.005 – 0.050 U/mL) was performed to determine the SOD activity of samples which were calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{SOD (U/ml) = } \left(\frac{\text{Sample IR} - y \text{- intercept}}{0.23 \text{mL}}\right) \times \text{slope} \times \text{sample dilution} \times 0.01 \text{mL}
\]

One unit is defined as the amount of SOD enzyme required to exhibit 50 % dismutation of O₂ radical.

Catalase (CAT) activity

The assay was performed using Catalase Assay Kit from Cayman Chemicals (USA) (Item No. 707002), and following manufacturer’s instructions. For this assay, supernatants were diluted with sample buffer (1:25). Subsequently, 100 µL assay buffer, 30 µL methanol, and 20 µL sample were added per well in a 96-well culture plateau. Then, reaction was initiated by adding 20 µL H₂O₂ (35.3 mM) and plateau was incubated on a shaker for 20 min at 25 ºC. Absorbances were measured at 540 nm in a plate reader. A standard curve of formaldehyde (5 – 75 µM) was performed to determine the CAT activity of samples which were calculated with the following formula:

\[
\text{CAT (nmol/min/ml) = } \left(\frac{\text{µm of sample}}{20 \text{ min}}\right) \times \text{sample dilution}
\]

One unit is defined as the amount of CAT needed to produce 1.0 nmol of formaldehyde per minute at 25 ºC.

Statistical analysis

The hemolytic assay was performed in triplicate while antioxidant assays were performed at least twice. The sample means were compared by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s Multiple Comparison Test, using the SPSS 16.0 statistical software. Differences between means at 95 % confidence level (* p < 0.05) were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield percentages and phytochemical characterization

*P. hysterophorus* is a plant known to be employed in the traditional medicine due to its pharmacological properties that could be associated with the activity of phytochemicals which can be recovered through the obtention of extracts. Previous studies have evaluated the biological properties of *P. hysterophorus*, including its antioxidant activity. However, most of these studies have employed plants collected in Asiatic countries, mainly in India where *Parthenium* has become popular due to its medicinal uses[10,11,21]. However, there is few information about the biological potential of the varieties of *P. hysterophorus* found in the north-east Mexico where it is native. It is known the geographic distribution and the influence of environmental factors of each region such as light, temperature, soil water fertility can produce differences in phytochemical profile and biological activities of plants even in
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those belonged to the same species\textsuperscript{35}. Therefore, it was of interest to evaluate the phytochemical composition of \textit{P. hysterophorus} plants collected in the north region of Mexico and determine their cytotoxic and antioxidant activity.

In this study, the AE and EE were obtained by decoction and ultrasound, respectively. The method of decoction was employed for the extraction of AE due to this is a common type of preparation of the \textit{P. hysterophorus} plants in the traditional medicine\textsuperscript{19}. Since, it was considerate important to study the compounds that can be obtained through this traditional extraction procedure and their biological activities. On the other hand, the ultrasound-assisted extraction was used for the obtention of the EE due to previous studies have demonstrated that the combination of ultrasound and ethanol for the extraction of metabolites from plants produce higher yields and bioactivity than the extracts obtained by other traditional methods\textsuperscript{34,36}.

The results of this work exhibited that the AE and EE obtained Y % values of 9.08 % and 11.51 %, respectively, being the yield of the EE a slightly higher than the AE (Table 1). Regarding to these results, it has been reported that the yield of plant extracts is associated with the applied solvents, and extraction methods\textsuperscript{37,38}. In this context, the ultrasound-assisted extraction could have produced a higher yield than decoction due to the application of ultrasound waves on plant material. The AE showed the presence of metabolites belonged to different phytochemical families such as flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins, and phenolic compounds. Previous studies have exhibited the presence and position of double bonds and oxygenyl groups in the molecules of phenolic metabolites have an influence in their antioxidant activity\textsuperscript{39}.

On the other hand, the phytochemical colorimetric test (Figure 1) showed that the AE and EE from the leaves of \textit{P. hysterophorus} contain flavonoids, triterpenes, coumarins, sesquiterpene lactones, carbohydrates, tannins but alkaloids and phytosterols were not found. On the other hand, steroids and saponins were only detected in EE. These groups of metabolites have been previously reported in extracts from leaves, flowers, and roots of \textit{P. hysterophorus}\textsuperscript{39,40}. Among these phytochemical families, sesquiterpene lactones constitute a group of metabolites commonly found in extracts of other species of the genus \textit{Parthenium}. Previous studies have reported the presence of these compounds in ethanol/ethyl acetate extract from leaves of \textit{P. hispitum}\textsuperscript{21}, and acetone and hexane extracts from derubberized resin of \textit{P. argentatum}\textsuperscript{31}. The colorimetric tests also showed the presence of unsaturations and phenolic oxyhydriles in the AE and EE (Table 1). These elements are known to be part of the chemical structure of different metabolites, such as phenolic compounds. Previous studies have exhibited the presence and position of double bonds and oxyhydrile groups in the molecules of phenolic metabolites have an influence in their antioxidant activity\textsuperscript{39}.

In addition, the RP-HPLC-MS analysis showed a total of ten and twelve phenolic compounds in AE and EE, respectively. The differences about the phytochemical profile of the AE and EE can be associated with the polarity of the water and ethanol used as solvents which could have extracted selectively these metabolites from the plant material\textsuperscript{41}. The AE showed the presence of metabolites belonged to different phytochemical families such as flavonoids, methoxyflavonoids, flavones, methoxylflavones, and hydroxycinnamic acids. It is known the water is a solvent with the capacity to dissolve compounds with high polarity\textsuperscript{42}. In this case, the metabolites found in the AE are phenolic compounds whose chemical structure contains hydroxyl and ketone groups which causes a strong polarity in these molecules that makes them affine to the high polarity of water\textsuperscript{40}. Moreover, various glycoside compounds such as caffeic acid 4-O-glucose, isorhamnetin 3-O-glucose, isorhamnetin 4’-O-glucose, and luteolin 7-O-(2-apiosyl-6-malonyl)-glucose were found in the AE. It is known the linkage between phenolic compounds a glucosyl molecules can increase the solubility of these metabolites in aqueous solutions and allows them to be recovered during the extraction processes\textsuperscript{40}.

On the other hand, the EE also exhibited the presence of compounds belonged to flavonoids, flavones and derivatives. However, these metabolites were not the same than those found in the AE. In addition, more compounds belonged to different families such as phenolic terpenes, ellagitanins, lignans, and hydroxybenzoic acids were also identified. It has been reported that phenolic compounds such as flavonoids and phenolic acids also exhibit affinity for other solvents such as ethanol\textsuperscript{41}. For this reason, these compounds could also be detected in the EE. Moreover, the differences in the phytochemical profile of the EE could be attributed to various factors. One of them is the lower polarity of ethanol than water, which produces that only some specific compounds with similar polarity could be recovered through this solvent (Table 2)\textsuperscript{42}.

According to previous studies published by other authors, some of the phenolic compounds identified in the AE and EE of \textit{P. hysterophorus} have also been detected in extracts from other plants. The hydroxyxycinnamic acids are known for being part of phytochemical profile of Asteraceae plants\textsuperscript{43}. Some the identified compounds in this work such as 1-cafeoylquinic acid, 3-cafeoylquinic acid, and 1,3-dicaffeoylquinic acid, have also been detected in methanolic leaf extracts of other Asteraceae plants including \textit{Cichorium intybus}, \textit{Stachys helenium}, \textit{Artemesia dracunculus}, \textit{Echinops humilis}, and \textit{Tanacetum parthenium}\textsuperscript{44}. Moreover, methoxylflavonoids such asisorhamnetin derivatives have been reported in methanolic extracts from aerial parts of \textit{Chuaquiragus spinosus}\textsuperscript{45}. Other compounds such as cirsimaritin, 4-hydroxybenzoic acid, and protocatechuic acid have been reported in extracts of \textit{Centaurea kilmii}\textsuperscript{46}, \textit{Hieracium pilosella}\textsuperscript{47}, and \textit{Erigeron acris}\textsuperscript{48}, respectively, while elagitanins\textsuperscript{49}, and spinacetin derivatives have also been detected in extracts of \textit{Fluorecia curtia} and \textit{Gnaphalium uliginosum}, respectively\textsuperscript{50}.

![Table 1: Phytochemical profile and yield percentages of AE and EE of \textit{P. hysterophorus}.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phytochemical groups</th>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>AE</th>
<th>EE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytoesters</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triterpenes</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpene lactones</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsaturations</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenolic oxyhydriles</td>
<td></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield %</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.08 %</td>
<td>11.51 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ : present; - : absent
Cytotoxicity activity

The hemolytic activity of the AE and EE on human erythrocytes was evaluated as a parameter of cytotoxicity (Figure 2). The concentrations of the AE and EE evaluated in this assay were established according to the concentration values (200 – 800 µg/mL) used in the antioxidant radical scavenging assays in order to compare the antioxidant and cytotoxic effects produced by the crude extracts at the same concentrations. The results exhibited the AE had not hemolytic activity in all concentrations evaluated. This could be associated with the presence of some phytochemical groups such as flavonoids, tannins, and carbohydrates. A previous study reported the presence of these three groups of metabolites in an aqueous extract from aerial parts of Sonchus oleraceus (1000 µg/mL) which also exhibited a low percentage of hemolysis (5.8 %)51. Moreover, hydroxycinnamic acids were another phytochemical group found in our extracts of P. hysterophorus. These metabolites have demonstrated to induce a cytoprotective effect on human erythrocytes exposed to hemolytic agents52. Hence, it is possible

Figure 1: Phytochemical colorimetric tests of the AE and EE from P. hysterophorus. The phytochemical profile of the AE (1) and EE (2) of P. hysterophorus was evaluated by colorimetric tests. The results showed in the figure corresponds to the following colorimetric standard methods: A) Negative controls, B) Sulfuric acid, C) Modified Liebermann-Burchard, D) Salkowski, E) Baljet, F) Sodium hydroxide 10 %, G) Potassium permanganate, H) Foam test, I) Dragendorff, J) Wagner, K) Mayer, L) Molish, M) Ferric chloride test.

Figure 2: Hemolytic activity of AE and EE of P. hysterophorus. Erythrocytes were cultured in 24-well culture plates and treated with aqueous (AE) and ethanolic (EE) crude extracts (200 – 800 µg/mL) from flowers of P. hysterophorus. In addition, Asc-A (13.2µg/mL) and Resv (22.8 µg/mL) were used as references, while erythrocytes treated with H2O were considered as positive control (C+). Subsequently, plates were incubated at 37 ºC for 1 h and hemolysis percentages were determined by measuring absorbances of supernatants at 415 nm. The data are expressed as mean ± SD of three replicates. * µ < 0.05 as compared with negative control.
that the presence of these compounds in the AE could be associated with these results.

On the other hand, the EE exhibited to increase hemolysis in a concentration dependent manner, producing total hemolysis (100 %) at the highest concentration (800 µg/mL). According to the results obtained from phytochemical colorimetric test, this hemolytic effect could be associated with the presence of steroids and saponins which where only found in EE. It is known steroidal compounds can produce hemolysis due to their interaction with cholesterol molecules found on membrane of erythrocytes that induces cell rupture55.

**ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY**

**Radical scavenging activity**

In this work, the AE and EE from *P. hysterophorus* were assessed for its possible antioxidant activity through scavenging radicals, using three complementary evaluations, which were TEAC, DPPH, and OH radical scavenging assays. It is known phytochemicals can inhibit radicals by different modes of action which can be classified into two main groups: transference of hydrogen atoms and single electron54.

The evaluation of TEAC assay determines the antioxidant property of a sample to prevent the oxidation of ABTS to ABTS•+ radical through the donation of protons56. In this assay, the AE, EE and standard references were evaluated at the concentration of 1000 µg/mL (1 mg/mL) following the method of expressing results by other authors57 and which allows to establish an equivalence between the antioxidant activity of a milligram of extract and the activity of Trolox, a vitamin E analogue. The results exhibited the AE and EE had similar antioxidant activity, with TEAC values of 0.112 ± 0.001 and 0.112 ± 0.013 mM/mg, respectively. These results were lower than those obtained by Asc-A (0.325 ± 0.002 mM/mg) and Resv (0.197 ± 0 mM/mg) (Table 3).

On the other hand, in the DPPH and -OH radical scavenging assays, the AE and EE were evaluated in a range of concentrations of 200 – 800 µg/mL. These values were stablished based on previous studies focus on the evaluation of the antioxidant activity of different plant extracts, including *Parthenium* species, and which allows the comparison of the antioxidant capacity of our plant extracts with the results of other authors.

The DPPH assay determines the capacity of a sample to neutralize the DPPH• radical by the mechanism of proton-coupled electron transference58. In this assay, the AE (16.28 ± 0.34 to 82.34 ± 0.64 %), and the EE (23.55 ± 0.30 to 88.90 ± 0.03 %) exhibited a significant increase of DPPH• radical inhibition in a concentration dependent manner. Furthermore, the highest % inhibition values exhibited by Asc-A and Resv were 57.32 ± 0.65 % and 94.08 ± 0.07 %, respectively (Table 4). Previous studies also reported the antioxidant capacity of

**Table 2: Phytochemicals found in the AE and EE of *P. hysterophorus* by RP-HPLC-MS analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.007</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>Myricetin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.585</td>
<td>316.9</td>
<td>Myricetin (isomer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14.688</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>Caffeic acid 4-O-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15.749</td>
<td>352.9</td>
<td>1-Caffeoylquinic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.054</td>
<td>340.9</td>
<td>Caffeic acid 4-O-glucoside (isomer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27.872</td>
<td>476.9</td>
<td>Isorhamnetin 3-O-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>28.052</td>
<td>476.9</td>
<td>Isorhamnetin 4’-O-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30.558</td>
<td>340.8</td>
<td>Tetramethylscutellarein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30.890</td>
<td>460.9</td>
<td>Isorhamnetin 3-O-rutinoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31.341</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>Luteolin 7-O-(2-apiosyl-6-malonyl)-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.204</td>
<td>344.9</td>
<td>Rosmanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38.468</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>Apigenin 6,8-di-C-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.015</td>
<td>801.1</td>
<td>Spinacetin 3-O-glucosyl-(1-&gt;6)-[apiosyl(1-&gt;2)]-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42.388</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>Protocatechuic acid 4-O-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>42.392</td>
<td>801.1</td>
<td>Spinacetin 3-O-glucosyl-(1-&gt;6)-[apiosyl(1-&gt;2)]-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>43.669</td>
<td>785.1</td>
<td>Pedunculagin II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>45.55</td>
<td>358.9</td>
<td>Lariceisfrulos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45.55</td>
<td>328.9</td>
<td>3,7-Dimethylquercetin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>45.55</td>
<td>289.9</td>
<td>Brevifolin carboxylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.801</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>4-Hydroxybenzoic acid 4-O-glucoside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>49.949</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>52.462</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>Cirsimaritin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity of AE and EE of *P. hysterophorus***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>TEAC (mM/mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>0 ± 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>0.112 ± 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>0.112 ± 0.013*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asc-A</td>
<td>0.325 ± 0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resv</td>
<td>0.197 ± 0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-: negative control; AE: aqueous crude extract; EE: ethanolic crude extract; Asc-A: ascorbic acid; Resv: resveratrol; mM/mg: antioxidant activity of a millimolar concentration of Trolox equivalent to antioxidant activity of a milligram of sample. * P < 0.05 as compared with negative control.
aqueous (200 µg/mL) and ethanolic (250 µg/mL) leaf extracts of *P. hysterophorus* in DPPH assay, obtaining inhibition values of 40 % and 66.28 %, respectively.58,59

The radical scavenging assay determines the capacity of a sample to inhibit the formation of OH radicals generated from the Fe³⁺/ascorbate/EDTA/H₂O₂ complex and which can be neutralized by the donation of protons. The results obtained in this assay showed that the AE produced a significant antioxidant effect in a concentration dependent manner (30.98 ± 0.20 % - 71.20 ± 2.22 %), while the EE exhibited a lower antioxidant activity (23.99 ± 1.41 % to 43.33 ± 0.40 %). In the control references, the highest antioxidant effects were lower than those obtained by the EE at the same concentrations, while the EE only showed higher effects than Resv (Table 4). A previous study also reported the antioxidant activity of acetic extract of *P. hysterophorus* stem (100 µg/mL), exhibiting about a 30 % of inhibition of the OH radical.66

According to the results obtained in the three radical scavenging assays, the AE and EE showed the capacity to prevent the formation of radicals (ABTS and DPPH) and inhibit those already formed (DPPH). According to the phytochemical profile, the in vitro antioxidant activities of the AE and EE could be attributed to the different phytochemical compounds found in them such as flavonoids, tannins, coumarins, triterpenes, and carbohydrates. It is known that the plant crude extracts are complex mixtures of metabolites which can influence the biological activities of one another, increasing their beneficial properties for human health. One of them is the antioxidant activity that contributes to the inhibition of radicals through the donation of electrons/protons that is determined for the configuration and total number of functional groups that constitute the chemical structures of many phytochemical compounds.

**Antioxidant enzyme activity**

It is known phytochemical compounds not only produce their antioxidant effect through the direct neutralization of radicals, but also can stimulate the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as SOD and CAT.52,68,71,72 Currently, there are not previous reports about the influence of *P. hysterophorus* extracts on the activity of these enzymes. Hence, in this study, the effect of the AE and EE of *P. hysterophorus* in the activity of SOD and CAT was evaluated using supernatants from erythrocytes previously treated with the extracts for the hemolysis assay. Erythrocytes are cells constantly exposed to inducing factors of oxidative stress in the organism. However, these cells can regulate the oxidative stress through various antioxidant mechanisms. One of them is the antioxidant enzymatic pathway in which SOD and CAT contribute to the inhibition of ROS. The SOD enzyme produces the dismutation of the radical O₂⁻ to O₂ and H₂O₂, while the CAT enzyme catalyzes the conversion of H₂O₂ to non-cytotoxic compounds such as H₂O and O²⁻. For this reason, these enzymes represent a useful in vitro model for the study of the effect of phytochemicals on the SOD and CAT enzymes through the increase or decrease of their antioxidant activities.

In this study, the results exhibited that the SOD activity of erythrocytes treated with AE showed enzymatic activity in all concentrations evaluated but only a significant increase was produced at 600 µg/mL (16.85 ± 0.29 U/mL) and 800 µg/mL (54.66 ± 47.12 U/mL), respectively. These results were lower than the obtained by Asc-A (69.77 ± 4.99 U/mL) but similar than Resv (53.98 ± 3.46 U/mL) at the highest concentration.

Increasing effects on SOD activity has been previously demonstrated by other plant extracts. Lee et al. reported the increase of SOD activity in vitro in V79-4 cell line (hamster lung fibroblast) cultures produced by methanolic extracts of *Areca catechu* var. *dulcisissima*, *Alpinia officinarum*, and *Paeonia suffruticosa* (100 µg/mL), inducing percentages of increase in antioxidant enzyme activity of 45 %, 40 %, and 43 %, respectively. Other studies have exhibited the presence of tannins and flavonoids such as isorhamnetin and luteolin in extracts from these plant species. Similar compounds were also detected in the AE of *P. hysterophorus* and could be associated with the increase of SOD activity.

On the other hand, EE exhibited an absence of SOD activity in all concentrations (Table 5). Previous studies have reported some phytochemicals as apigenin, have the capacity to reduce SOD activity in vitro through the formation of stable complexation with metal ions Cu²⁺ and Zn²⁺, avoiding the assembly of SOD with these cofactors. Hence, it is probably some of the phytochemicals that constitute the EE as apigenin 6,8-di-C-glucoside, could be a possible cause of this inhibitory effect in SOD. However, more studies are needed to demonstrate this effect of the EE on antioxidant enzymes.

The evaluation of CAT activity in the AE showed enzymatic activity in all concentrations but only a significant increase was produced at 400 µg/mL (125.82 ± 37.39 nmol/min/mL) and 600 µg/mL (98.79 ± 10.90 nmol/min/mL). A significant increase on CAT activity produced by plant extracts was also reported by Lee et al. who evaluated the effect of methanolic seed extract of *Euryale ferox* (100 µg/mL) on V79-4 cell line, obtaining an increase of 24 %. It has been reported the presence of tannins, flavonoids, steroids, and saponins in seed extracts of *E. ferox*, which were also detected in the AE and EE of *P. hysterophorus* and could be associated with the increase of CAT activity.

Moreover, the EE also induced significant increase at 600 µg/mL (151.09 ± 41.55 nmol/min/mL) and 800 µg/mL (253.33 ± 60 nmol/min/mL) (Table 5). These effects on CAT activity produced by the EE could exhibit a correlation with the results previously obtained by the EE in the evaluation of SOD enzyme, in which non-SOD activity was detected.

---

**Table 4: Radical scavenging activity of AE and EE of *P. hysterophorus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>DPPH⁺ inhibition %</th>
<th>OH inhibition %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 µg/mL</td>
<td>400 µg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>16.28 ± 0.34*</td>
<td>44.59 ± 0.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>23.55 ± 0.30*</td>
<td>50.66 ± 0.23*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asc-A</td>
<td>57.32 ± 0.65*</td>
<td>56.09 ± 0.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resv</td>
<td>83.20 ± 0.11*</td>
<td>91.94 ± 0.18*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-: negative control, AE: aqueous crude extract; EE: ethanolic crude extract; Asc-A: ascorbic acid; Resv: resveratrol; DPPH⁺: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical; OH: Hydroxyl radical. * p < 0.05 as compared with negative control.
Previous studies have shown that the SOD enzyme can react with the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ radical producing an inhibition of the SOD enzymatic activity due to the fragmentation of the protein structure of this enzyme$^7$. In our study, this phenomenon could be associated with a possible formation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ radicals produced by the interaction between the erythrocytes and the EE that could have caused the significant increase of the CAT activity due to it has been reported that an increased generation of ROS induces the activation of enzymatic enzymes$^4$. In addition, a possible formation of ROS could be also associated the cytotoxic effects produced by the EE in the previous hemolysis assay. Hence, according to this information, we hypothesize that the EE could have induced the formation of ROS such as $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ radicals, being responsible for the inactivation of the SOD enzyme, and the significant increase on the CAT activity. However, more studies are required to demonstrate the formation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ radicals by the EE on erythrocytes.

On the other hand, non-activity of CAT enzyme was detected in Asc-A and Resv controls. These results could have a correlation with the results reported by Breinholt et al$^7$ who evaluated the CAT antioxidant activity on erythrocytes obtained from rats (Wistar rats, 8-9 weeks) which were treated with quercetin, chrysin and genistein (0.1 g flavonoid/kg of rat weight/14 days), obtaining a decrease of the antioxidant activity on erythrocytes obtained from rats (Wistar rats, 8-9 weeks) which were treated with quercetin, chrysin and genistein (0.1 g flavonoid/kg of rat weight/14 days), obtaining a decrease of the antioxidant activity on erythrocytes.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, the AE and EE of P. hysterophorus flowers showed a phytochemical profile constituted by some phytochemical groups such as flavonoids, steroids, phytosterols, saponins, coumarins, sesquiterpene lactones, tannins, and carbohydrates which are known to have diverse biological properties for human health. In addition, the RP-HPLC-MS analysis showed the presence of compounds belonged to flavonoids, methoxyflavonols, flavones, methoxyflavones, hydroxycinnamic acids, phenolic terpenes, ellagitannins and lignans.

Table 5: Antioxidant enzyme activity of AE and EE from P. hysterophorus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Enzyme activity</th>
<th>SOD (U/mL)</th>
<th>CAT (nmol/min/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.49 ± 2.16</td>
<td>69.73 ± 24.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10.44 ± 8.19</td>
<td>91.15 ± 8.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>14.01 ± 12.23</td>
<td>125.82 ± 37.39*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>16.85 ± 0.29*</td>
<td>98.79 ± 10.90*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>54.66 ± 47.12*</td>
<td>89.98 ± 11.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 ± 4.46</td>
<td>89.39 ± 25.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0 ± 0.88</td>
<td>64.13 ± 26.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0 ± 3.89</td>
<td>151.09 ± 41.55*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800</td>
<td>0 ± 1.26</td>
<td>253.33 ± 0*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>Asc-A</td>
<td>69.77 ± 4.99*</td>
<td>0 ± 3.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resv</td>
<td>53.98 ± 3.46*</td>
<td>0 ± 2.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C-: negative control; AE: aqueous crude extract; EE: ethanolic crude extract; Asc-A: ascorbic acid; Resv: resveratrol; SOD: superoxide dismutase; CAT: catalase. * $p < 0.05$ as compared with negative control.
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